STRAWMAN ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT RESTRUCTURING

FINANCE and BUDGET

FY 2001 #s	Users	Budget Millions	Area Office FTE	IHS Field FTE	Finance & Budget FTE	Users/ Finance FTE	Millions \$ / Finance FTE
ABERDEEN	120,593	242	156	1,659	24	5,000	10
ALASKA	119,016	446	42	1,000	8	15,000	56
ALBUQUERQUE	84,279	134	123	989.0	22	4,000	6
BEMIDJI	95,939	137	47	371	4	24,000	34
BILLINGS	69,404	154	100	884	16	4,000	10
CALIFORNIA	68,045	131	38	24	3	23,000	44
NASHVILLE	49,835	101	62	189	4	12,000	25
NAVAJO	224,986	366	166	3,700	20	11,000	18
OKLAHOMA	301,338	354	102	1,509	13	23,000	27
PHOENIX	137,017	256	177	1,981	30	5,000	9
PORTLAND	94,124	196	77	494	16	6,000	12
TUCSON	23,406	41	40	306	4	6,000	10
IHS	1,387,982	2,558	1,130	13,106	164	8,000	16

See position types in Tab L (#505, #525, #501, #510, #540, #503, #511)

Assess current status, constraints, needs, and opportunities

- Status of financial management now? Excellent? Acceptable? Unacceptable? Are trends improving or declining. Any compelling reasons for more than 1-3 financial support sites?
- Extent of financial transaction workload, complexity, feasibility for automation
- Any difficulties in fulfilling financial responsibilities? Any audit issues?
- Number of operating units, # and types of budgets maintained
- Extent federal (more transactions) versus tribal operations (less transactions)
- Any specialty expertise required, budget/funds monitoring at each AO?
- What is minimum expertise, support standards, backup/coverage for financial support?

Ideas to Open a Discussion

- Place ALL financial functions from AOs into an IHS Financial Support Center
 - o 120-130 FTE at Center(s)
 - o 30-40 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Place ONLY transaction / accounting functions, retain budget functions at AOs
 - o 90-100 FTE at Centers(s)
 - o ~30 Budget FTE at AOs
 - o 30-40 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Place 3 Finance Support centers of 35 FTE (collocate with, not under existing AO)
 - o ~105 FTE at 3 Centers
 - ~30 Budget FTE at AOs
 - o 30-40 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Necessary Site selection by independent planning group using objective criteria

STRAWMAN ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT RESTRUCTURING

HUMAN RESOURCES

FY 2001 #s	USERS	BUDGET \$millions	Area Office FTE	IHS Field FTE	AO/SU HR FTE	Users / HR FTE	Millions \$ / HR FTE	FTE Supported / HR FTE
ABERDEEN	120,593	242	156	1,659	18/6	7,000	13	101
ALASKA	119,016	446	42	1,000	12	10,000	37	87
ALBUQUERQUE	84,279	134	123	989	22	4,000	6	51
BEMIDJI	95,939	137	47	371	2	48,000	69	209
BILLINGS	69,404	154	100	884	14/3	5,000	11	70
CALIFORNIA	68,045	131	38	24	2	34,000	65	31
NASHVILLE	49,835	101	62	189	1	50,000	101	251
NAVAJO	224,986	366	166	3,700	14 /30	16,000	26	276
OKLAHOMA	301,338	354	102	1,509	14/6	22,000	25	115
PHOENIX	137,017	256	177	1,981	14/18	10,000	18	154
PORTLAND	94,124	196	77	494	8/4	12,000	24	71
TUCSON	23,406	41	40	306	7	3,000	6	49
IHS	1,387,982	2,558	1,130	13,106	135/67	10,000	19	105

See position types in Tab L (#230, #212, #221, #235, #233, #201, #203, #204)

Assess current status, constraints, needs, and opportunities

- Status of HR support services now? Excellent? Acceptable? Unacceptable? Are trends improving or declining. Any compelling reasons for more than 1-3 HR support sites?
- Extent of HR transaction workload, complexity, feasibility for automation
- Any difficulties in fulfilling HR responsibilities? Any performance or liabilities issues?
- Number of FTE supported and types. Extent of HR service at field sites
- Any specialty expertise required recruitment?
- What is minimum expertise, support standards, backup/coverage for HR support?
- Prospects for automation and electronic support systems

Ideas to Open a Discussion

- Place ALL HR functions from AOs into an HR Support Center
 - o 100-110 FTE at Center(s)
 - o 25-35 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Place ONLY HR operations functions, retain some HR functions at AOs
 - o ~80-90 FTE at Centers
 - o ~24 HR FTE at AOs
 - o ~20-30 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Place 3 HR Support centers of 30 FTE (collocate with, not under existing AO)
 - o ~90 FTE at 3 Centers
 - o ~24 HR FTE at AOs
 - o ~20 FTE Savings some invested in HR services, balance goes to services budget
- Necessary Site selection by independent planning group using objective criteria

STRAWMAN ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT RESTRUCTURING

CONTRACTS and GRANTS

FY 2001 #s	USERS	BUDGET \$millions	Area Office FTE	IHS Field FTE	CG FTE	Users / CG FTE	Millions \$ / CG FTE	Contracts/ FTE ???
ABERDEEN	120,593	242	156	1,659	18	7,000	13	?
ALASKA	119,016	446	42	1,000	-	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	?
ALBUQUERQUE	84,279	134	123	989	9	9,000	15	?
BEMIDJI	95,939	137	47	371	8	12,000	17	?
BILLINGS	69,404	154	100	884	7	10,000	22	?
CALIFORNIA	68,045	131	38	24	5	14,000	26	?
NASHVILLE	49,835	101	62	189	1	50,000	101	?
NAVAJO	224,986	366	166	3,700	2	112,000	183	?
OKLAHOMA	301,338	354	102	1,509	12	25,000	30	?
PHOENIX	137,017	256	177	1,981	6	23,000	43	?
PORTLAND	94,124	196	77	494	16	6,000	12	?
TUCSON	23,406	41	40	306	6	4,000	7	?
IHS	1,387,982	2,558	1,130	13,106	90	15,000	28	?

See position types in Tab L (#1101, #1102, #1105, #1106)

Assess current status, constraints, needs, and opportunities

- Status of contracts/grants support now? Excellent? Acceptable? Unacceptable? Are trends improving or declining. Any compelling reasons for more than 1-3 CG support sites?
- Extent of contracts workload, complexity, feasibility for automation
- Any difficulty in fulfilling contracts/grants responsibilities? Any legal or program issues?
- Extent federal versus tribal operations
- Any specialty expertise required?
- What is minimum expertise, support standards, backup/coverage for CG support?

Ideas to Open a Discussion

- Place ALL Contracts & Grants functions from AOs into an IHS CG Support Center
 - o ~70-80 FTE at Center
 - o ~10-20 FTE Savings some invested in CG services, balance goes to services budget
- Place ONLY most functions at center, retain CG liaison/coordinator at AO
 - o ~60-70 FTE at Centers
 - o ~ 12 CG FTE at AOs
 - o ~ 5-15 FTE Savings some invested in CG services, balance goes to services budget
- Place 3 CG Support centers of 25 FTE (collocate with, not under existing AO)
 - o ~75 FTE at 3 Centers
 - o ~15 FTE Savings some invested in CG services, balance goes to services budget
- Necessary Site selection by independent planning group using objective criteria